1	The Honorable Ricardo. S. Martinez		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
9			
	JENNIFER P. SCHWEICKERT,  Plaintiff,	) ) No. 13-CV-675	
10			
11	V.	DEFENDANTS HUNTS POINT VENTURES, INC., AND HUNTS POINT	
12	HUNTS POINT VENTURES, INC.; HUNTS POINT VENTURE GROUP, LLC; CHAD and	VENTURE GROUP, LLC'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO	
13 14	ELIZABETH RUDKIN, and their marital community comprised thereof; JOHN DU WORS, and DOES 1-4,	PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	
15	Defendants.	) ) )	
16			
17	HUNTS POINT VENTURES, INC. and HUNTS POINT VENTURE GROUP, LLC		
18	(collectively "Defendants"), by and through their undersigned counsel, provide the following		
19	Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Plaintiff JENNIFER P. SCHWEICKERT's First Amended		
20	Complaint (the "Complaint") as follows:		
21	INTROD	UCTION	
22	In response to that portion of the Complaint entitled "Introduction," Defendants answer		
23	that it is a summary of Plaintiff's claims and no response is required.		
24	THE PARTIES		
25	In response to that portion of the Complaint entitled "the Parties," Defendants answer as		
26	follows:		
	DEFENDANTS HUNTS POINT VENTURES, INC., AND HUNTS POINT VENTURE GROUP, LLC'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 1  POSTER PEPPER PLLC 1111 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 3400 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-3299 PHONE (206) 447-4400 FAX (206) 447-9700		

Case No. 13-CV-675

- 1. Defendants ADMIT that Plaintiff is an individual, but Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the remaining allegation in Paragraph 1 and therefore DENY the allegation.
- 2. Defendants ADMIT that they are entities set up under the laws of Washington State, residing in King County, but Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the remaining allegations in Paragraph 2 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 3. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 3 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 4. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 4 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 5. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 5 and therefore DENY the allegations.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 6. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 6 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 7. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 7 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 8. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 8 and therefore DENY the allegations.

# FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS HPV Formation

- 9. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 9 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 10. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 10 and therefore DENY the allegations.
  - 11. Defendants DENY the allegation in paragraph 11.

DEFENDANTS HUNTS POINT VENTURES, INC., AND HUNTS POINT VENTURE GROUP, LLC'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 2 Case No. 13-CV-675

- 23. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 23 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 24. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 24 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 25. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 25 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 26. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 26 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 27. Defendants DENY the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 27. As to any remaining allegations contained in the paragraph, Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to those allegations and therefore DENY such allegations.
- 28. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 28 and therefore DENY the allegations.

### **HPV** Breaches the Agreement

- 29. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 29 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 30. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 30 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 31. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 31 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 32. Defendants ADMIT that Hunts Point Venture Group, LLC, is an entity organized under the laws of the State of Washington but have insufficient information to form a belief as to the remaining allegations in Paragraph 32 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 33. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 33 and therefore DENY the allegations.

DEFENDANTS HUNTS POINT VENTURES, INC., AND HUNTS POINT VENTURE GROUP, LLC'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 4 Casse No. 13-CV-675

1	34.	Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in		
2	Paragraph 34 and therefore DENY the allegations.			
3	35. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations i			
4	Paragraph 35 and therefore DENY the allegations.			
5	36.	Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in		
6	Paragraph 36 and therefore DENY the allegations.			
7	37.	Defendants answer that Paragraph 37 states a legal conclusion and does not		
8	require a response.			
9 10	First Cause of Action Breach of Contract (Against Defendants HPV and the Rudkins)			
11	38.	Paragraph 38 incorporates previous paragraphs, and no response is required.		
12	39.	Defendants DENY the allegations in Paragraph 39.		
13	40.	Defendants answer that Defendant Chad Rudkin is the sole shareholder of Hunts		
14	Point Ventures, Inc.			
15	41.	Defendants DENY the allegations in Paragraph 41.		
16	42.	Defendants DENY the allegations in Paragraph 42.		
17	43.	Defendants DENY the allegations in Paragraph 43.		
18	44.	Defendants DENY the allegations in Paragraph 44.		
19		Second Cause of Action		
20		Fraud (Against Defendants the Rudkins and John and Amber Du Wors)		
21	45.	Paragraph 45 incorporates previous paragraphs, and no response is required.		
22	46.	Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in		
23	Paragraph 46 and therefore DENY the allegations.			
24	47.	Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in		
25	Paragraph 4	7 and therefore DENY the allegations.		
26				
	AND HUNT	NTS HUNTS POINT VENTURES, INC., 'S POINT VENTURE GROUP, LLC'S ND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO  FOSTER PEPPER PLLC 1111 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 3400 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-3299 PHONE (206) 447-4400 FAX (206) 447-9700		

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 5

Case No. 13-CV-675

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 6 ©ase No. 13-CV-675

- 60. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 60 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 61. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 61 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 62. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 62 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 63. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 63 and therefore DENY the allegations.

## Fourth Cause of Action Negligent Misrepresentation (Against Defendant John Du Wors)

- 64. Paragraph 64 incorporates previous paragraphs, and no response is required.
- 65. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 65 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 66. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 66 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 67. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 67 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 68. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 68 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 69. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 69 and therefore DENY the allegations.
- 70. Defendants have insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 70 and therefore DENY the allegations.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

No response is required to that portion of the Complaint entitled "Prayer for Relief."

DEFENDANTS HUNTS POINT VENTURES, INC., AND HUNTS POINT VENTURE GROUP, LLC'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 7

1		AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
2	71.	Plaintiff's complaint fails to state a claim on which relief can be granted.
3	72.	Plaintiff's claims are barred by estoppel.
4	73.	Plaintiff's claims are barred by waiver.
5	74.	Plaintiff's claims are barred by laches.
6	75.	Plaintiff's claims are barred because they were filed after the applicable statute of
7	limitations expired.	
8	76.	To the extent Plaintiffs' complaint seeks equitable relief, Plaintiff's unclean hands
9	bar such relief.	
10	77.	To the extent Plaintiff's complaint seeks equitable relief, Plaintiff's claims are
11	barred because there is an adequate remedy at law.	
12	78.	To the extent Plaintiff's complaint seeks equitable relief, Plaintiff's claims are
13	barred because there is no irreparable harm to Plaintiffs.	
14	79.	Plaintiff's complaint fails to name an indispensable party.
15	80.	To the extent Plaintiff has damages, Plaintiff's damages were caused by one or
16	more third parties.	
17	81.	To the extent Plaintiff's have damages, Plaintiffs failed to mitigate those
18	damages.	
19	82.	Defendants reserve the right to add additional affirmative defenses.
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

DEFENDANTS HUNTS POINT VENTURES, INC., AND HUNTS POINT VENTURE GROUP, LLC'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 8 Case No. 13-CV-675

## Case 2:13-cv-00675-RSM Document 16 Filed 08/06/13 Page 9 of 10

1	DATED this 6 <sup>th</sup> day of August, 2013.	
2		FOSTER PEPPER PLLC
3		
4		s/s Joel B. Ard
5		Joel B. Ard, WSBA No. 40104
6		Attorneys for Defendants Hunts Point Ventures Inc.'s and Hunts Point Venture Group, LLC
7		1111 Third Avenue, Suite 3400
8		Seattle, WA 98101 Tel: 206-447-6252
9		Fax: 206-749-2063 Email: ardjo@foster.com
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

DEFENDANTS HUNTS POINT VENTURES, INC., AND HUNTS POINT VENTURE GROUP, LLC'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 9 Case No. 13-CV-675

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Joel B. Ard, state that I am a citizen of the United States of America and a resident of the State of Washington, I am over the age of twenty one years, I am not a party to this action, and I am competent to be a witness herein. I electronically filed the above Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Plaintiff's Complaint with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System, who will electronically send notification of such filing to the following parties who have appeared in this action as of today's date:

- Reed Yurchak yurchaklaw@gmail.com
- A. Janay Ferguson ajf@leesmart.com
- Sam Breazeale Franklin sbf@leesmart.com

There are no other parties who have appeared in this action as of today's date that need to be served manually.

I DECLARE under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED this 6<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2013.

/s/ Joel B. Ard

Joel B. Ard

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Case No. 13-CV-675

FOSTER PEPPER PLLC
1111 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 3400
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-3299
PHONE (206) 447-4400 FAX (206) 447-9700